Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/519,596	ANDERSSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Malcolm D. Cribbs	2115
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>All</u>	<u>owed</u>
(1) Malcolm D. Cribbs.	(3)	
(2) Sidney Wetherford.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>21 November 2006</u>	Time: <u>2:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	, .
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112 rejections		
Claims discussed: Claim 30		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Outstanding USC 112 rejections on claim 30 were amended by applicant therefore the application is allowable		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. 	e examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
	THOMAS SUPERVICORY FATA TECHNOLOGY CO	AT THE LER
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)